



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,439	10/14/2005	Alberto Bianco	0508-1145	1423
466	7590	11/10/2010	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			GREENE, IVAN A	
209 Madison Street				
Suite 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/553,439	BIANCO ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
IVAN GREENE	1619	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final response mailed

(1) IVAN GREENE.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) VANIA VITTELL.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 November 2010

**Time:** 11:37 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cherie M. Woodward/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the six month statutory period for reply to the office action mailed 04/02/2010 had expired, the examiner placed a call to Robert A. Madsen to inquire as to the status of the instant application. Mr. Madsen was not available but his assistant Ms. Vania Vittell was able to confirm that no response to the office action 04/02/2010 had been filed..